

**Some  
Unlikely Intersections  
Beyond  
André-Oort**

Jonathan Pila  
*Mathematical Institute  
Oxford*

Recent Developments in Model theory  
Oléron, June 2011

## I.

Diophantine geometry **in** o-minimal structures

Result (+Alex Wilkie) about the distribution of rational points on a “definable set” .

## II.

Diophantine geometry **via** o-minimal structures

A strategy proposed by Umberto Zannier in the context of the **Manin-Mumford conjecture (Raynaud’s Thm)**.

Some cases of the **André-Oort conjecture**, some cases of the **Zilber-Pink conjecture**.

+ Zannier, Masser-Zannier, JP, + Habegger, +Tsimmerman, others.

Various uses of o-minimality.

# I.

## Height of rational points

$$H(a/b) = \max(|a|, |b|), \quad (a, b) = 1,$$

$$H(q_1, \dots, q_n) = \max(H(q_1), \dots, H(q_n)).$$

**Definition.** The **algebraic part** of  $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is

$$\text{Alg}(Z) = \bigcup A$$

over all connected positive dimensional semi-algebraic  $A \subset Z$ .

Here: a **semi-algebraic set** in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a finite union of sets, each defined by equations

$$F_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

$$G_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, h$$

where  $F_i, G_j \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ .

## Counting rational points

**Idea:** A “reasonable” set  $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  has “few” rational points outside its algebraic subset:

**Theorem.** (+Alex Wilkie) *Let  $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a set that is definable in an o-minimal structure over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then*

$$N(Z - \text{Alg}(Z), T) \leq c(Z, \epsilon)T^\epsilon.$$

The “algebraic subset”  $\text{Alg}(Z)$  of a set can be viewed as a (weak) analogue of  $\text{Sp}(V)$ .

**Refinement.** The same for algebraic points of some bounded degree  $k$ :

$$Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \quad N_k(Z, T) =$$

$$\#\{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in Z : [\mathbb{Q}(x_i) : \mathbb{Q}] \leq k, H(x_i) \leq T\},$$

$$N_k(Z - \text{Alg}(Z), T) \leq c(Z, k, \epsilon)T^\epsilon.$$

## Further refinement

The theorem yields more information about how much of  $\text{Alg}(Z)$  we need to remove:

**Theorem.** Let  $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be definable,  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $Z(\mathbb{Q}, T)$  is contained in at most  $c(Z, \epsilon)T^\epsilon$  **blocks** coming from finitely many (depending on  $\epsilon$ ) block families.

**Definition.** A **block** is a cell that is contained in a semi-algebraic cell of same dimension.

- \* a block of dimension 0 is a point
- \* a block of positive dimension  $\subset \text{Alg}(Z)$
- \*  $Z(k, T)$  in  $c(Z, k, \epsilon)T^\epsilon$  blocks.

## Wilkie's conjecture

In general, this result cannot be much improved.

In particular, examples (in  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an}}$ ) show that one cannot replace  $c(Z, \epsilon)T^\epsilon$  by

$$c(Z)(\log T)^C.$$

**Wilkie's conjecture.** For  $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  definable in  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{exp}}$  one can.

Partial results:

Curves (Butler, Jones-Thomas (+Miller))

Certain surfaces (Butler, Jones-Thomas)

## II.

Umberto Zannier proposed: strategy for a new proof of Manin-Mumford conjecture (Raynaud's theorem) for abelian varieties  $A/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Same strategy has wider applicability.

Sketch first for multiplicative MM (**torsion** case of theorem of M. Laurent).

## 1. The multiplicative MM

Algebraic subvariety  $V \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ :

$$V = \{\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n : F_i(\mathbf{x}) = 0, i = 1, \dots, m\}$$

where  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$  as multiplicative group (coordinate-wise multiplication on  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ ).

Consider: **torsion points** on  $V =$  points whose coordinates are roots of unity.

**“Conjecture”**:  $V$  contains only **finitely many** torsion points **unless**  $V$  contains a subtorus of positive dimension or translate thereof by a torsion point (“torsion coset”).

Subtorus: equations like:  $x^2y^3z = 1$  in  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ .

Torsion coset: eqs like:  $x^2y^3z = \exp(2\pi i/7)$ .



**“Conjecture”**:  $V \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  contains only finitely many torsion points **unless**  $V$  contains a torus coset of positive dimension.

**Observe:**

1. Torsion cosets of positive dimension contain infinitely many rational points
2. A torsion point is a torsion coset of the trivial subgroup of  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$

**“Refined conjecture”**: Finitely many torsion cosets contained in  $V$  contain all the torsion points in  $V$ . I.e.  $V$  has only finitely many **maximal** torsion cosets.

**Proof.** Since torsion points are algebraic, we can assume  $V$  is defined over a number field.

Start with **uniformisation**

$$\exp : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^n,$$

$$\exp(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\exp(z_1), \dots, \exp(z_n)).$$

**Real coordinates** on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ :  $\operatorname{Re}(z), \operatorname{Im}(z)/2\pi$ . Then pre-images of torsion points

$$(\dots, q_j \pi i, \dots), \quad q_j \in \mathbb{Q}$$

are **rational points**. The uniformization is

$$2\pi i\mathbb{Z}\text{-periodic,}$$

so cannot be **definable**. But its **restriction to a fundamental domain  $F$  is definable** in  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an}}$ ,  $\exp$  (need  $\exp$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\sin, \cos$  on  $[0, 2\pi]$ ).

Let

$$Z = \exp^{-1}(V) \cap F.$$

## Opposing bounds

Count rational points in  $Z = \exp^{-1}(V) \cap F$ .

**Archimedean upper bound** for  $Z$  by PW:

$$N(Z - \text{Alg}(Z), T) \leq c(Z, \epsilon)T^\epsilon.$$

**Galois lower bound** on  $V$  side. A torsion point  $P$  of order  $T$  in  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  has degree

$$\phi(T) \gg T/\log T,$$

(Euler  $\phi$ -function). A fixed positive proportion of conjugates lie again on  $V$ ; so if  $P \in V$  then

$$N(Z, T) \geq c(V)T/\log T$$

**Incompatible bounds:** take  $\epsilon = 1/2$  (say).

So either the orders of torsion points on  $V$  are bounded, giving finiteness, or  $\text{Alg}(Z) \neq \emptyset$ .

The algebraic part

Next: characterise  $\text{Alg}(Z)$ . Real  $\rightarrow$  complex.

$$\text{Alg}(\exp^{-1}(V)) = \bigcup \text{complex algebraic } W$$

Let  $W$  irreducible complex algebraic variety with

$$W \subset \exp^{-1}(V) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$$

(won't be contained in  $Z$ ). Let

$$\bar{z}_i \in \mathbb{C}(W)$$

be induced by the coordinate functions, then

$$\exp(\bar{z}_i)$$

as functions on  $W$  satisfy the equations of  $V$ :  
**Dependent exponentials of algebraic fns.**

Ax (1971): Proved Schanuel conjecture in a differential field (i.e. for functions).

By “**Ax-Lindemann-Weierstrass**” = part of Ax-Schanuel corresponding to LW, the  $\bar{z}_i$  are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{Q}$  modulo constants.

## Ax-Lindemann-Weierstrass

**Ax-L-W theorem:** *Suppose  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}(W)$  are elements in some algebraic function field. The functions*

$$\exp(a_i)$$

*on  $W$  are **algebraically independent** over  $\mathbb{C}$  unless the  $a_i$  are linearly dependent over  $\mathbb{Q}$  modulo constants (i.e.  $\sum q_i a_i = c \in \mathbb{C}, q_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ , not all  $=0$ ).*

is **equivalent** (more generally) to:

**Theorem (“Ax-L-W”):** *Let  $V \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  be algebraic. A maximal complex algebraic variety  $W \subset \exp^{-1}(V)$  is a translate of a rational linear subspace.*

**Conclude:**

$\text{Alg}(\exp^{-1}(V)) = \bigcup \exp^{-1}$  subtorus cosets in  $V$   
(not only *torsion* cosets).

## Summary/conclusion

Transcendental uniformization, definable on a fundamental domain:

rational point  $\leftrightarrow$  torsion point

“Complexity” (order) of torsion point:

upper bound  $\ll$  lower bound

Characterization of “algebraic part” (Ax-L-W):

maximal algebraic  $\approx$  subtorus coset

**Finiteness** for the number of subtori  $T$  having a coset  $aT \subset V$  (elementary/o-minimality).

Finally: an inductive argument to conclude:

tor csts  $aT \subset V \leftrightarrow$  tor pts  $a \in V' \subset (\mathbb{C}^*)/T$ .

Completes proof  $\square$

## 2. Andre-Oort Conjecture

André-Oort conjecture ('89/'95): analogue of MM for **Shimura varieties**  $X$ . Examples:

- \* Moduli space of pp abelian vars given dim
- \* Hilbert modular surfaces, H modular varieties
- \* Shimura curves: quotient of  $\mathbb{H}$  by a discrete subgroup of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  coming from an indefinite quaternion algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , gen modular curves.

**Conjecture.** Let  $V \subset X$ . Then  $V$  contains only finitely many “**special points**” unless it contains a “**special subvariety**” of pos. dim.

So: “special pt”  $\sim$  torsion pt, “sp subv.”  $\sim$  ...

**Refined version:** All “special points”  $\in V$  lie in finitely many “special subvarieties”  $\subset V$ .

Full proof announced by Klingler-Ullmo-Yafaev on GRH. Few cases known unconditionally.

## André-Oort for $\mathbb{C}^n$

$\mathbb{C} = Y(1)$  as  $j$ -line parameterising elliptic curves.

$j(\tau)$ :  $j$ -invariant of  $E \leftrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\tau$ ,  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -inv.

**Special point** in  $\mathbb{C} =$  the  $j$  invariant of a CM elliptic curve = elliptic curve with extra endomorphisms. **Special point** in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ : tuple.

**André-Oort Conjecture for  $\mathbb{C}^n$** :  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  has finitely many special points **unless** it contains a “**special subvariety**” of positive dimension ( $\approx$  product of modular curves).

Edixhoven (2005) **under GRH for CM fields**.  
For  $n = 2$ , André **unconditionally** (1998).



## Sketch proof.

Reprise mult MM proof with  $j$  instead of  $\exp$ .

## Uniformisation:

$$j : \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n,$$

$$j(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) = (j(\tau_1), \dots, j(\tau_n)).$$

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})^n - \text{invariant}, \quad \tau \mapsto \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$$

**Definability** of  $j$  on  $F$ , in  $\mathbb{R}$  an  $\exp$ , despite its essential singularity in cusp, by  $q$ -expansion, or Peterzil+Starchenko ('04) result for  $\wp(z, \tau)$ . So too  $j$  on  $F^n$ .

$j(\tau)$  is special  $\iff \tau$  is **imaginary quadratic**.

By Complex Multiplication

$$[\mathbb{Q}(j(\tau)) : \mathbb{Q}] = h(D)$$

## Opposing bounds

Definability + bounded degree: **Upper bound.**

$$N_2(Z - \text{Alg}(Z), T) \leq c(Z, \epsilon)T^\epsilon.$$

**Lower bound:**  $[\mathbb{Q}(j(\tau)) : \mathbb{Q}] = h(D)$ . Siegel:

$$h(D) \geq c(\eta)|D|^{1/2-\eta}, \quad \eta > 0,$$

**unconditional** (though ineffective). And as  $H(\tau) \ll D$ , if  $j(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \in V$ ,  $D_i = D(\tau)$  and  $D = \max D_i$  get

$$N_2(Z) \geq c(V)D^{1/4} \quad (\eta = 1/4 \text{ say}).$$

**Incompatible bounds.**

Study  $\text{Alg}(Z)$ . Last ingredient:

## Ax-Lindemann-Weierstrass for $j$

If  $g \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$  (+ for  $\det > 0$ , to preserve  $\mathbb{H}$ ),

$$j(\tau), \quad j(g\tau), \quad g\tau = \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}$$

are related by a **modular polynomial**,

$$\Phi_N(j(\tau), j(g\tau)) = 0,$$

and so are algebraically dependent (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

**Definition.** Algebraic functions  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}(W)$  will be called **geodesically independent** if they are all non-constant and there are no relations  $a_i = ga_j, i \neq j$  as above.

**Need:** all  $a_i$  take values in  $\mathbb{H}$  for some point of  $W$  so that  $j(a_i)$  are locally functions on  $W$ .

**Theorem (Ax-L-W for  $j$ ):** *Suppose  $a_i$  are geodesically independent algebraic functions. Then  $j(a_i)$  are algebraically independent  $\not\in \mathbb{C}$ .*

**Definition.** A **weakly special** subvariety of  $\mathbb{H}^n$  is  $W \cap \mathbb{H}^n$  where  $W$  is defined by equations

$$z_{i_k} = g_k z_{j_k}, \quad g_k \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q}), \quad k = 1, \dots, \ell$$

$$z_{\ell_k} = c_k \in \mathbb{H}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m.$$

It is **special** if all  $c_k$  are quadratic.

This data determines a special subvariety

$$W_{\{(i_k, j_k, g_k)\}}$$

on the variables  $i_k, j_k$ .

We refer to  $W$  as being the **translate** by the  $c_k$  of  $W_{\{(i_k, j_k, g_k)\}}$ .

**Theorem (Ax-L-W for  $j$ ).** *Let  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be algebraic. If  $W$  is a maximal complex algebraic variety with  $W \cap \mathbb{H}^n \subset j^{-1}(V)$  then  $W$  is weakly special.*

**Proof.** Uses O-minimality plus P-Wilkie again.

## Ingredients and prospects for AO

### Basic set up: Uniformisation

$$\pi : U \rightarrow X, \quad \Gamma - \text{invariant.}$$

All cases of (mixed) André-Oort look like this. Special points in  $U$  have finite degree..

**A. Definability (upper bounds):** Definability of uniformising map. Peterzil-Starchenko: for theta functions in both sets of variables (in  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an,exp}}$ ), so for  $\mathcal{A}_{g,1}$ , even as mixed Shimura variety.

**B. Lower bounds:** for Galois orbits of special points: Jacob Tsimerman (2011):  $\mathcal{A}_g, g \leq 5$  unconditionally. (Also Yafaev-Ullmo).

Height of point in  $F$  (Tsimerman, for  $\mathbb{H}_g$ ).

**C. Ax-Lindemann-Weierstrass:** Of interest and approachable indpt of lower bounds.

*Maximal algebraic  $\subset \pi^{-1}(V)$  is weakly special.*

## Further results

### Cases of ZP – later:

1. Masser-Zannier “torsion anomalous” points
2. “unlikely” in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (+Habegger )

### Cases of AO or “generalised” versions:

3. AOMML for  $\mathbb{C}^n \times E_1 \times \dots \times E_m \times (\mathbb{C}^*)^\ell$ ,  $E_i/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$
4. Hilbert modular surfaces (Daw-Yafaev 2011)

### In progress:

5. Products of elliptic modular surfaces:  $L^n$ ,

$$L = \{(\lambda, x, y) : y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)\}$$

Special point:  $\lambda_i$  special,  $(x_i, y_i)$  torsion.

6. Products of Shimura curves

7. Siegel modular threefold  $\mathcal{A}_{2,1}$  = moduli space of pp Abelian surfaces: (+ Tsimmerman)

### 3. The Zilber-Pink conjecture

A far-reaching generalization of AOMM, due to Zilber ( $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ , semi-abelian), independently (later) Pink for (mixed) Shimura varieties, also Bombieri-Masser-Zannier proved results, made conjectures on “unlikely intersections” in  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}^{[k]}$  be the union of all algebraic subgroups of  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  of codimension at least  $k$ .

E.g. For a curve  $C \subset \mathbb{G}_m^n(\mathbb{C}) = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ ,  $C/\mathbb{C}$ .

**Conjecture.**  $C \cap \mathcal{S}^{[2]}$  is **finite**, **unless**  $C$  is contained in a proper algebraic subgroup.

This is a **Theorem** due to BMZ, Maurin.

$C \cap \mathcal{S}^{[2]}$  consists:  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C$  satisfying 2 (or more) independent multiplicative relations.

Multiplicative MM is a special case ( $n = 2$  or intersect with subgroups of codimension  $n$ )

**Example.** Find all  $t \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$(t, 1 + t, 1 - t) \in \mathbb{C}^3$$

satisfy **two** independent multiplicative relations (Cohen-Tretkoff+Zannier). Or same for

$$(2, 3, t, 1 + t, 1 - t) \in \mathbb{C}^5.$$

ZP implies ML

Suppose all but 2 coordinates constant on  $C$ :  
 $C = \{(c_1, \dots, c_n, x, y) : f(x, y) = 0\}$ . (assume:  
 $c_i$  mult. ind. o/w  $C \subset$  special). Two equations

$$x^a y^b = c_1^{\alpha_1} \dots c_n^{\alpha_n}, \quad x^c y^d = c_1^{\beta_1} \dots c_n^{\beta_n}$$

amounts to: solving  $f(x, y) = 0$  in the **division group** generated by  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ .

I.e. Although ZP involves only special subvts, Mordell-Lang appears as a degenerate case.



ZP for curves in  $\mathbb{C}^n = Y(1)^n$

**Conjecture.** Let  $C/\mathbb{C}$  be a curve in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then the intersection of  $C$  with the **union**  $\mathcal{S}^{[2]}$  of all special subvarieties of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  of codimension  $\geq 2$  is **finite** – **unless**  $C$  is **contained** in a proper special subvariety of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

**Theorem.** (+Habegger) *The conjecture above is true if  $C$  is defined over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  and **asymmetric**.*

**Definition:**  $C$  is **asymmetric** if each positive integer appears at most once among  $\deg(X_i|C)$ , up to one exception which may appear twice.

Same strategy. First “unlikely” result “beyond AO”. Requires “Ax-log” result for  $j$ . Includes an analogue of ML (holds for all  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ ).

Consider now  $C \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  as Shimura variety.

$\mathcal{S}^{[2]} = \cup$  special subvarieties of codimension 2.

$C \cap \mathcal{S}^{[2]}$  consists:  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C$  satisfying 2 independent **modular** relations (or coordinates special).

Suppose all but 2 coordinates constant on  $C$ :

$$C = \{(c_1, \dots, c_n, x, y) : f(x, y) = 0\}$$

$\Phi_n(x, c_i), \Phi_m(y, c_j)$  (or  $x$  and/or  $y =$  special).

...analogue of Mordell-Lang for  $V \subset Y(1)^n$ .

## “Mordell-Lang” for $\mathbb{C}^n$

**Definition.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{C}$ . A point  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  is called  $\Sigma$ -special if it is special or in the Hecke orbit of some  $c \in \Sigma$  i.e.  $j^{-1}(x) \in \text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})j^{-1}(c)$ .

**Definition.** A  $\Sigma$ -special subvariety is a weakly special subvariety which contains a  $\Sigma$ -special point.

**Theorem.** (+Philipp Habegger) *Let  $\Sigma \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  be a finite set and  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  a variety. Then  $V$  contains only finitely many  $\Sigma$ -special points **unless**  $V$  contains a  $\Sigma$ -special subvariety of positive dimension. **Moreover**,  $V$  contains only finitely many maximal  $\Sigma$ -special subvarieties.*

**Sketch.** Note that for  $c \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  but not special, a point  $\sigma \in \mathbb{H}$  with  $j(\sigma) = c$  is **transcendental** (Th. Schneider).

Fixing one such  $\sigma \in \mathbb{H}$ , the Hecke orbit is

$$\mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})\sigma = \{g\sigma : g \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})\}.$$

Take  $\sigma \in \mathbb{H}$  with  $j(\sigma) = c$  for each  $c \in \Sigma$ .

Break into finitely many cases:

- \* Certain coords, say  $x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n$  are special.
- \* Other  $x_j$  is in Hecke orbit of some  $c_j \in \Sigma$ .

For each such case consider:

$$\Omega = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^k \times \mathbb{H}^{n-k} \rightarrow U = \mathbb{H}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n,$$

$$(g_i, \tau_j) \mapsto (g_i \sigma_i, \tau_j) \mapsto (j(g_i \sigma_i), j(\tau_j))$$

and look for suitably “rational” points in the preimage of  $Z$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^n$ :

Quadratic points in  $\mathbb{H}$ , rational points in  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

The map  $GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is fibred by copies of  $SO_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \Delta$ .

But we get  $T^\epsilon$  “blocks”. The map  $GL_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$  is semialgebraic, so image of block is a finite union of blocks.

So  $T^\epsilon$  blocks in  $\Omega$  map to  $T^\epsilon$  blocks in  $U$ .

$\text{Alg}(Z)$  is same as before.

Lower bounds:

\* Special points: same

\* Orbit of  $c$ : isogeny estimates (Masser et al) or Serre open image.  $\square$

Certain  $C \subset \mathbb{C}^n$

**Sketch.** What does an “unlikely intersection” point look like?

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in C$$

Cases:

(1)  $\Phi_N(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}) = 0$  and  $\Phi_M(x_{i_3}, x_{i_4}) = 0$ , with  $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}, x_{i_4}$  distinct.

(2)  $\Phi_N(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}) = 0, \Phi_M(x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}) = 0$ , with  $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}$  distinct.

(3)  $x_{i_1} = c$  special,  $\Phi_M(x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}) = 0$ , with  $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, x_{i_3}$  distinct.

(4)  $x_{i_1} = c_1, x_{i_2} = c_2$  with  $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}$  distinct and  $c_1, c_2$  special reverts to AO.

Case (2) on  $x_1, x_2, x_3$

Consider: Points  $P = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in C$  with  $\Phi_N(x_1, x_2) = 0$ , and  $\Phi_M(x_2, x_3) = 0$ , where  $N, M$  depend on  $P$ .

Uniformisation:  $\mathbb{H}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$  by  $j$ -function.

$P$  as above gives rise to  $(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \in \mathbb{H}^3$  with

$$z_2 = \alpha z_1, \quad z_3 = \beta z_2$$

for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ .

If some coordinate is constant on  $C$  we are in “Mordell-Lang” situation: we may assume  $C$  is not contained in any weakly special subvariety.

Need suitable “Ax-type” result:

## “Ax logarithms”

$j : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  has a multivalued inverse  $\ell : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ , the “ $j$ -logarithm”.

Want: for algebraic functions  $a_i$ , the  $\ell(a_i)$  are algebraically independent unless the  $a_i$  have modular relations, or are constant.

**Theorem.** *Let  $C \subset \mathbb{C}^3$  be irreducible curve,  $\tau \in j^{-1}(C) \subset \mathbb{H}^3$ . Suppose a complex algebraic hypersurface  $W$  contains a neighbourhood of  $z$  in  $j^{-1}(C)$ . Then  $C$  is contained in a weakly special subvariety.*

Uses: André’s normality theorem (does not use o-minimality).



Case (2), ctd

For  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ , let

$$Y_{\alpha, \beta} = \{(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : \tau_2 = \alpha\tau_1, \tau_3 = \beta\tau_2\}.$$

$Y_{\alpha, \beta}$  is a complex algebraic curve in a family parameterised by

$$\mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R}).$$

Let  $Z = j^{-1}(C) \cap F$ , definable. Also definable:

$$X = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})^2 : Y_{\alpha, \beta} \cap Z \neq \emptyset\}.$$

1. Each  $Y_{\alpha, \beta} \cap Z$  is finite, otherwise, by “Ax-log”,  $C$  would be contained in a weakly special subvariety, contrary to assumptions.

2. O-minimality: a uniform finite bound for  $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})^2$ .

3. The intersections are then given by finitely many functions  $f_i$  defined and  $C^1$  on some cells.

## Case (2), concluded

4. Lower bounds: an unlikely point  $P$  has “many” Galois conjugates: i.e. gives rise to at least  $cT^\delta$  points of height  $\leq T$  on  $X$ .

Uses: height properties on curves (asymmetry used here), isogeny estimates, ...

5. Choose  $\epsilon < \delta$ . Pila-Wilkie now provides a finite number of definable “block families” containing all the “blocks” occurring in the theorem, compatible with the cells for the  $f_i$ .

6. Suppose now a point  $P$  with  $L = \max(N, M)$  large. Have  $\geq cL^\delta$  points in  $Z$ . But the points  $Q \in X$  lie on  $\leq CL^\epsilon$  blocks. If an algebraic curve through a point  $Q$  has  $f_i$  non-constant, we get an algebraic surface  $W$  containing  $Z$ . **Contradiction.** So the  $f_i$  are all constant on the blocks, and this accounts for too few points  $P$ . **Contradiction.**  $\square$

## “Torsion anomalous” points

**Masser-Zannier** establish first cases of Pink’s relative MM conjecture, using o-minimality.

**Theorem.** (M+Z) *There are only finitely many complex numbers  $\lambda \neq 0, 1$  such that the points*

$$(2, \sqrt{2(2 - \lambda)}), \quad (3, \sqrt{6(3 - \lambda)})$$

*on the elliptic curve*

$$E_\lambda : y^2 = x(x - 1)(x - \lambda)$$

*are **both** torsion points.*

View as family of  $E_\lambda \times E_\lambda$  over  $\lambda$ -line. The point  $((2, \dots), (3, \dots))$  describes a curve, on which one expects only finitely many torsion points. But the ambient abelian variety moves with  $\lambda$ .

For  $(2, \sqrt{2(2 - \lambda)})$  alone, infinitely many  $\lambda$ .

## 4. André-Oort again

### Ingredients

A. Definability: Peterzil-Starchenko  $\mathcal{A}_{g,1}$ .

B. Lower bounds: Tsimerman  $\mathcal{A}_{g,1}, g \leq 5$ .

C. Ax-Lindemann-Weierstrass:

Consider Shimura variety  $X$  e.g.  $\mathcal{A}_{g,1}$ . Have

$$\pi : U \rightarrow X, \quad V \subset X$$

**Conjecture.** (Ax-L-W): A maximal complex algebraic  $W \cap U \subset \pi^{-1}(V)$  is weakly special.

**Theorem.** (Ullmo-Yafaev) *True if  $\dim V = 1$ .*

## Hilbert modular surfaces

Certain quotient of  $\mathbb{H}^2$  by action of a discrete arithmetic group coming from a real quadratic field  $k$ .

$$\pi : \mathbb{H}^2 \rightarrow X.$$

Moduli space of pp Abelian surfaces with real multiplication:  $X \subset \mathcal{A}_{2,1}$ .

**Theorem.** (Daw-Yafaev) *AO for HMS's*

Definability: Peterzil-Starchenko for  $\mathcal{A}_{2,1}$ . Lower bounds: Edixhoven. AxLW: Ullmo-Yafaev.  $\square$

Other cases of curve  $V$  in  $X \subset \mathcal{A}_{g,1}, g \leq 5$  should follow similar lines.

## Siegel modular threefold

AO for moduli space of pp Abelian surfaces,  
+ Jacob Tsimerman.

Siegel upper half space:  $J : \mathbb{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{2,1}$

Definability: Peterzil-Starchenko.

Lower bound for Galois orbit: Tsimerman.

Ax-Lindemann-Weierstrass: uses o-minimality,  
but not P-Wilkie.

Take  $V \subset \mathcal{A}_{2,1}$

\*  $\dim V = 1$ : conclude using Ullmo-Yafaev.

\*  $\dim V = 2$ : ... tame complex analytic results  
of Peterzil-Starchenko ...