

# Definably quotients of locally definable groups

Y. Peterzil  
(joint work with P. Eleftheriou)

Department of Mathematics  
University of Haifa

Oleron 2011

Let  $\mathcal{M} = M_1 \sqcup M_2$  be an arbitrary structure, a disjoint union of two sorts (no maps between  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ ).

## Problem

What are the definable (interpretable) groups in  $\mathcal{M}$ ?  
(say, in terms of  $M_1$  and in  $M_2$ )

Let  $\mathcal{M} = M_1 \sqcup M_2$  be an arbitrary structure, a disjoint union of two sorts (no maps between  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ ).

## Problem

What are the definable (interpretable) groups in  $\mathcal{M}$ ?  
(say, in terms of  $M_1$  and in  $M_2$ )

Let  $\mathcal{M} = M_1 \sqcup M_2$  be an arbitrary structure, a disjoint union of two sorts (no maps between  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ ).

## Problem

What are the definable (interpretable) groups in  $\mathcal{M}$ ?  
(say, in terms of  $M_1$  and in  $M_2$ )

## Answer 1

$G = H_1 \times H_2$ , where  $H_i$  is definable in  $M_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

## Answer 2

$G = (H_1 \times H_2)/F$ , where  $F$  is a finite subgroup.

## Answer 3

$$0 \longrightarrow H_1 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow H_2 \longrightarrow 1$$

A central extension  $G$  of a definable group  $H_2$  in  $M_2$  by a definable group  $H_1$  in  $M_1$  (via, say a finite co-cycle  $\sigma : H_2 \times H_2 \rightarrow H_1$ ).

## Answer 1

$G = H_1 \times H_2$ , where  $H_i$  is definable in  $M_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

## Answer 2

$G = (H_1 \times H_2)/F$ , where  $F$  is a finite subgroup.

## Answer 3

$$0 \longrightarrow H_1 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow H_2 \longrightarrow 1$$

A central extension  $G$  of a definable group  $H_2$  in  $M_2$  by a definable group  $H_1$  in  $M_1$  (via, say a finite co-cycle  $\sigma : H_2 \times H_2 \rightarrow H_1$ ).

### Answer 1

$G = H_1 \times H_2$ , where  $H_i$  is definable in  $M_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

### Answer 2

$G = (H_1 \times H_2)/F$ , where  $F$  is a finite subgroup.

### Answer 3

$$0 \longrightarrow H_1 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow H_2 \longrightarrow 1$$

A central extension  $G$  of a definable group  $H_2$  in  $M_2$  by a definable group  $H_1$  in  $M_1$  (via, say a finite co-cycle  $\sigma : H_2 \times H_2 \rightarrow H_1$ ).

## One more answer

$G = (\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2)/\Gamma$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_i$  is a locally definable group in  $\mathcal{M}_i$  and  $\Gamma$  an infinite small, non-definable, subgroup. But  $G$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{M}_2$ !

## Definition

A *locally definable group*  $\langle \mathcal{G}, \cdot \rangle$  (in an  $\omega$ -saturated structure) is a countable directed union of definable sets  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n X_n \subseteq M^k$ , such that (i) for every  $m, n$ , the restriction of multiplication to  $X_m \times X_n$  is definable (and (ii) for every  $m, n$  there exists  $\ell$  with  $X_m \cdot X_n \subseteq X_\ell$ ,  $X_n^{-1} \subseteq X_\ell$ ).

## Example

$G$  definable group,  $e \in X \subseteq G$  a definable set, and  $\mathcal{G} = \langle X \rangle$  the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $X$ .



## One more answer

$G = (\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2)/\Gamma$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_i$  is a locally definable group in  $\mathcal{M}_i$  and  $\Gamma$  an infinite small, non-definable, subgroup. **But  $G$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{M}_2$ !**

## Definition

A *locally definable group*  $\langle \mathcal{G}, \cdot \rangle$  (in an  $\omega$ -saturated structure) is a countable directed union of definable sets  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n X_n \subseteq M^k$ , such that (i) for every  $m, n$ , the restriction of multiplication to  $X_m \times X_n$  is definable (and (ii) for every  $m, n$  there exists  $\ell$  with  $X_m \cdot X_n \subseteq X_\ell$ ,  $X_n^{-1} \subseteq X_\ell$ ).

## Example

$G$  definable group,  $e \in X \subseteq G$  a definable set, and  $\mathcal{G} = \langle X \rangle$  the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $X$ .

## One more answer

$G = (\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2)/\Gamma$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_i$  is a locally definable group in  $\mathcal{M}_i$  and  $\Gamma$  an infinite small, non-definable, subgroup. **But  $G$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{M}_2$ !**

## Definition

A *locally definable group*  $\langle \mathcal{G}, \cdot \rangle$  (in an  $\omega$ -saturated structure) is a countable directed union of definable sets  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n X_n \subseteq M^k$ , such that (i) for every  $m, n$ , the restriction of multiplication to  $X_m \times X_n$  is definable (and (ii) for every  $m, n$  there exists  $\ell$  with  $X_m \cdot X_n \subseteq X_\ell$ ,  $X_n^{-1} \subseteq X_\ell$ ).

## Example

$G$  definable group,  $e \in X \subseteq G$  a definable set, and  $\mathcal{G} = \langle X \rangle$  the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $X$ .

## One more answer

$G = (\mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2)/\Gamma$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_i$  is a locally definable group in  $\mathcal{M}_i$  and  $\Gamma$  an infinite small, non-definable, subgroup. **But  $G$  is definable in  $\mathcal{M}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{M}_2$ !**

## Definition

A *locally definable group*  $\langle \mathcal{G}, \cdot \rangle$  (in an  $\omega$ -saturated structure) is a countable directed union of definable sets  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n X_n \subseteq M^k$ , such that (i) for every  $m, n$ , the restriction of multiplication to  $X_m \times X_n$  is definable (and (ii) for every  $m, n$  there exists  $\ell$  with  $X_m \cdot X_n \subseteq X_\ell$ ,  $X_n^{-1} \subseteq X_\ell$ ).

## Example

$G$  definable group,  $e \in X \subseteq G$  a definable set, and  $\mathcal{G} = \langle X \rangle$  the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $X$ .

# Definable quotients

## Definition

For  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ , we say that  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is *definable* (interpretable) if there exists a definable (interpretable) group  $G$  and a locally definable surjective homomorphism  $\phi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow G$ .

## Example

$\langle R, <, +, a \rangle$  a large ordered, divisible, abelian group.  
Take  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n \langle -na, na \rangle$ , a locally definable subgroup.

$$\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}a \subseteq \mathcal{G}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable: There is a locally definable surjection

$$\phi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \langle [-a, a], + \bmod a \rangle.$$

# Definable quotients

## Definition

For  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ , we say that  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is *definable* (interpretable) if there exists a definable (interpretable) group  $G$  and a locally definable surjective homomorphism  $\phi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow G$ .

## Example

$\langle R, <, +, a \rangle$  a large ordered, divisible, abelian group.  
Take  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n (-na, na)$ , a locally definable subgroup.

$$\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}a \subseteq \mathcal{G}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable: There is a locally definable surjection

$$\phi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \langle [-a, a], + \bmod a \rangle.$$

$\mathcal{M}$  is an arbitrary  $\kappa$ -saturated structure.

## Fact

For  $\mathcal{G}$  a locally definable group, and  $\Gamma \leq \mathcal{G}$  a small normal subgroup. The group  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable (interpretable) in  $\mathcal{M}$  **iff** there exists a definable  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that

- (i)  $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma \cdot X$
- (ii)  $X \cap \Gamma$  is finite.

*(The group  $\Gamma$  is “a lattice in  $\mathcal{G}$ ” and the set  $X$  is a “fundamental set”).*

## Proof

**IF:** We assume  $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma X$ . The set  $XX^{-1}$  definable  $\Rightarrow XX^{-1} \subseteq FX$  (for finite  $F \subseteq \Gamma$ )  $\Rightarrow XX^{-1} \cap \Gamma \subseteq FX \cap \Gamma = F(X \cap \Gamma)$  is finite.

$\Rightarrow 'x_1\Gamma = x_2\Gamma'$  is definable for  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ .

Similarly, the relation  $x_1x_2\Gamma = x_3\Gamma$  is definable for  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ .

$\Rightarrow$  can define a group on  $X/\Gamma (\cong \mathcal{G}/\Gamma)$ .

$\mathcal{M}$  is an arbitrary  $\kappa$ -saturated structure.

## Fact

For  $\mathcal{G}$  a locally definable group, and  $\Gamma \leq \mathcal{G}$  a small normal subgroup. The group  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable (interpretable) in  $\mathcal{M}$  iff there exists a definable  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that

- (i)  $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma \cdot X$
- (ii)  $X \cap \Gamma$  is finite.

(The group  $\Gamma$  is “a lattice in  $\mathcal{G}$ ” and the set  $X$  is a “fundamental set”).

## Proof

IF: We assume  $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma X$ . The set  $XX^{-1}$  definable  $\Rightarrow XX^{-1} \subseteq FX$  (for finite  $F \subseteq \Gamma$ )  $\Rightarrow XX^{-1} \cap \Gamma \subseteq FX \cap \Gamma = F(X \cap \Gamma)$  is finite.

$\Rightarrow 'x_1\Gamma = x_2\Gamma'$  is definable for  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ .

Similarly, the relation  $x_1x_2\Gamma = x_3\Gamma$  is definable for  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ .

$\Rightarrow$  can define a group on  $X/\Gamma (\cong \mathcal{G}/\Gamma)$ .

$\mathcal{M}$  is an arbitrary  $\kappa$ -saturated structure.

## Fact

For  $\mathcal{G}$  a locally definable group, and  $\Gamma \leq \mathcal{G}$  a small normal subgroup. The group  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable (interpretable) in  $\mathcal{M}$  iff there exists a definable  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that

- (i)  $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma \cdot X$
- (ii)  $X \cap \Gamma$  is finite.

(The group  $\Gamma$  is “a lattice in  $\mathcal{G}$ ” and the set  $X$  is a “fundamental set”).

## Proof

**IF:** We assume  $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma X$ . The set  $XX^{-1}$  definable  $\Rightarrow XX^{-1} \subseteq FX$  (for finite  $F \subseteq \Gamma$ )  $\Rightarrow XX^{-1} \cap \Gamma \subseteq FX \cap \Gamma = F(X \cap \Gamma)$  is finite.

$\Rightarrow 'x_1\Gamma = x_2\Gamma'$  is definable for  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ .

Similarly, the relation  $x_1x_2\Gamma = x_3\Gamma$  is definable for  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ .

$\Rightarrow$  can define a group on  $X/\Gamma (\cong \mathcal{G}/\Gamma)$ .



$\mathcal{M}$  is an arbitrary  $\kappa$ -saturated structure.

## Fact

For  $\mathcal{G}$  a locally definable group, and  $\Gamma \leq \mathcal{G}$  a small normal subgroup. The group  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable (interpretable) in  $\mathcal{M}$  iff there exists a definable  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that

- (i)  $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma \cdot X$
- (ii)  $X \cap \Gamma$  is finite.

(The group  $\Gamma$  is “a lattice in  $\mathcal{G}$ ” and the set  $X$  is a “fundamental set”).

## Proof

**IF:** We assume  $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma X$ . The set  $XX^{-1}$  definable  $\Rightarrow XX^{-1} \subseteq FX$  (for finite  $F \subseteq \Gamma$ )  $\Rightarrow XX^{-1} \cap \Gamma \subseteq FX \cap \Gamma = F(X \cap \Gamma)$  is finite.

$\Rightarrow 'x_1\Gamma = x_2\Gamma'$  is definable for  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ .

Similarly, the relation  $x_1x_2\Gamma = x_3\Gamma$  is definable for  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ .

$\Rightarrow$  can define a group on  $X/\Gamma (\cong \mathcal{G}/\Gamma)$ .

$\mathcal{M}$  is an arbitrary  $\kappa$ -saturated structure.

## Fact

For  $\mathcal{G}$  a locally definable group, and  $\Gamma \leq \mathcal{G}$  a small normal subgroup. The group  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable (interpretable) in  $\mathcal{M}$  iff there exists a definable  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that

- (i)  $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma \cdot X$
- (ii)  $X \cap \Gamma$  is finite.

(The group  $\Gamma$  is “a lattice in  $\mathcal{G}$ ” and the set  $X$  is a “fundamental set”).

## Proof

**IF:** We assume  $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma X$ . The set  $XX^{-1}$  definable  $\Rightarrow XX^{-1} \subseteq FX$  (for finite  $F \subseteq \Gamma$ )  $\Rightarrow XX^{-1} \cap \Gamma \subseteq FX \cap \Gamma = F(X \cap \Gamma)$  is finite.

$\Rightarrow 'x_1\Gamma = x_2\Gamma'$  is definable for  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ .

Similarly, the relation  $x_1x_2\Gamma = x_3\Gamma$  is definable for  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ .

$\Rightarrow$  can define a group on  $X/\Gamma (\cong \mathcal{G}/\Gamma)$ .

$\mathcal{M}$  is an arbitrary  $\kappa$ -saturated structure.

## Fact

For  $\mathcal{G}$  a locally definable group, and  $\Gamma \leq \mathcal{G}$  a small normal subgroup. The group  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable (interpretable) in  $\mathcal{M}$  iff there exists a definable  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that

- (i)  $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma \cdot X$
- (ii)  $X \cap \Gamma$  is finite.

(The group  $\Gamma$  is “a lattice in  $\mathcal{G}$ ” and the set  $X$  is a “fundamental set”).

## Proof

**IF:** We assume  $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma X$ . The set  $XX^{-1}$  definable  $\Rightarrow XX^{-1} \subseteq FX$  (for finite  $F \subseteq \Gamma$ )  $\Rightarrow XX^{-1} \cap \Gamma \subseteq FX \cap \Gamma = F(X \cap \Gamma)$  is finite.

$\Rightarrow 'x_1\Gamma = x_2\Gamma'$  is definable for  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ .

Similarly, the relation  $x_1x_2\Gamma = x_3\Gamma$  is definable for  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ .

$\Rightarrow$  can define a group on  $X/\Gamma (\cong \mathcal{G}/\Gamma)$ .

$\mathcal{M}$  is an arbitrary  $\kappa$ -saturated structure.

## Fact

For  $\mathcal{G}$  a locally definable group, and  $\Gamma \leq \mathcal{G}$  a small normal subgroup. The group  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable (interpretable) in  $\mathcal{M}$  iff there exists a definable  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that

- (i)  $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma \cdot X$
- (ii)  $X \cap \Gamma$  is finite.

(The group  $\Gamma$  is “a lattice in  $\mathcal{G}$ ” and the set  $X$  is a “fundamental set”).

## Proof

**IF:** We assume  $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma X$ . The set  $XX^{-1}$  definable  $\Rightarrow XX^{-1} \subseteq FX$  (for finite  $F \subseteq \Gamma$ )  $\Rightarrow XX^{-1} \cap \Gamma \subseteq FX \cap \Gamma = F(X \cap \Gamma)$  is finite.  
 $\Rightarrow 'x_1\Gamma = x_2\Gamma'$  is definable for  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ .

Similarly, the relation  $x_1x_2\Gamma = x_3\Gamma$  is definable for  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ .  
 $\Rightarrow$  can define a group on  $X/\Gamma (\cong \mathcal{G}/\Gamma)$ .

$\mathcal{M}$  is an arbitrary  $\kappa$ -saturated structure.

## Fact

For  $\mathcal{G}$  a locally definable group, and  $\Gamma \leq \mathcal{G}$  a small normal subgroup. The group  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable (interpretable) in  $\mathcal{M}$  iff there exists a definable  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that

- (i)  $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma \cdot X$
- (ii)  $X \cap \Gamma$  is finite.

(The group  $\Gamma$  is “a lattice in  $\mathcal{G}$ ” and the set  $X$  is a “fundamental set”).

## Proof

**IF:** We assume  $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma X$ . The set  $XX^{-1}$  definable  $\Rightarrow XX^{-1} \subseteq FX$  (for finite  $F \subseteq \Gamma$ )  $\Rightarrow XX^{-1} \cap \Gamma \subseteq FX \cap \Gamma = F(X \cap \Gamma)$  is finite.

$\Rightarrow 'x_1\Gamma = x_2\Gamma'$  is definable for  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ .

Similarly, the relation  $x_1x_2\Gamma = x_3\Gamma$  is definable for  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ .

$\Rightarrow$  can define a group on  $X/\Gamma$  ( $\cong \mathcal{G}/\Gamma$ ).

$\mathcal{M}$  is an arbitrary  $\kappa$ -saturated structure.

## Fact

For  $\mathcal{G}$  a locally definable group, and  $\Gamma \leq \mathcal{G}$  a small normal subgroup. The group  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable (interpretable) in  $\mathcal{M}$  iff there exists a definable  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that

- (i)  $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma \cdot X$
- (ii)  $X \cap \Gamma$  is finite.

(The group  $\Gamma$  is “a lattice in  $\mathcal{G}$ ” and the set  $X$  is a “fundamental set”).

## Proof

**IF:** We assume  $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma X$ . The set  $XX^{-1}$  definable  $\Rightarrow XX^{-1} \subseteq FX$  (for finite  $F \subseteq \Gamma$ )  $\Rightarrow XX^{-1} \cap \Gamma \subseteq FX \cap \Gamma = F(X \cap \Gamma)$  is finite.

$\Rightarrow 'x_1\Gamma = x_2\Gamma'$  is definable for  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ .

Similarly, the relation  $x_1x_2\Gamma = x_3\Gamma$  is definable for  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in X$ .

$\Rightarrow$  can define a group on  $X/\Gamma (\cong \mathcal{G}/\Gamma)$ .

## Example in dimension 2

$\mathcal{M} = \langle R_1, <, + \rangle \sqcup \langle R_2^{>0}, <, \cdot \rangle$ , the additive and multiplicative groups of two disjoint real closed fields.

$$\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n (-na, na) \times \bigcup_n (1/b^n, b^n) \subseteq R_1 \times R_2$$

It is generated by the box  $X = [-a, a] \times [1/b, b]$ .

$\Gamma$  = the subgroup generated by the elements  $(a, 1)$  and  $(0, b)$ .

The quotient  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable, using the box  $X$ .

In this case  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is isomorphic to a product of definable groups, but we may choose  $\Gamma$  differently with  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  definable but not a direct product (Strzebonski).

## Example in dimension 2

$\mathcal{M} = \langle R_1, <, + \rangle \sqcup \langle R_2^{>0}, <, \cdot \rangle$ , the additive and multiplicative groups of two disjoint real closed fields.

$$\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n (-na, na) \times \bigcup_n (1/b^n, b^n) \subseteq R_1 \times R_2$$

It is generated by the box  $X = [-a, a] \times [1/b, b]$ .

$\Gamma$  = the subgroup generated by the elements  $(a, 1)$  and  $(0, b)$ .

The quotient  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable, using the box  $X$ .

In this case  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is isomorphic to a product of definable groups, but we may choose  $\Gamma$  differently with  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  definable but not a direct product (Strzebonski).



## Example in dimension 2

$\mathcal{M} = \langle R_1, <, + \rangle \sqcup \langle R_2^{>0}, <, \cdot \rangle$ , the additive and multiplicative groups of two disjoint real closed fields.

$$\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n (-na, na) \times \bigcup_n (1/b^n, b^n) \subseteq R_1 \times R_2$$

It is generated by the box  $X = [-a, a] \times [1/b, b]$ .

$\Gamma$  = the subgroup generated by the elements  $(a, 1)$  and  $(0, b)$ .

The quotient  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable, using the box  $X$ .

In this case  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is isomorphic to a product of definable groups, but we may choose  $\Gamma$  differently with  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  definable but not a direct product (Strzebonski).

## Example in dimension 2

$\mathcal{M} = \langle R_1, <, + \rangle \sqcup \langle R_2^{>0}, <, \cdot \rangle$ , the additive and multiplicative groups of two disjoint real closed fields.

$$\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n (-na, na) \times \bigcup_n (1/b^n, b^n) \subseteq R_1 \times R_2$$

It is generated by the box  $X = [-a, a] \times [1/b, b]$ .

$\Gamma$  = the subgroup generated by the elements  $(a, 1)$  and  $(0, b)$ .

The quotient  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable, using the box  $X$ .

In this case  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is isomorphic to a product of definable groups, but we may choose  $\Gamma$  differently with  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  definable but not a direct product (Strzebonski).

## Definition

$\mathcal{M}$  sufficiently saturated,  $\mathcal{G}$  a locally definable group. A definable set  $Y \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  is called *generic* if there is a small subset  $A \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathcal{G} = A \cdot Y$ .

**Notation** For  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  definable, write

$$X(n) = \overbrace{XX^{-1} \dots XX^{-1}}^{n \text{ times}}.$$

## Fact

Assume that  $\langle \mathcal{G}, + \rangle = \bigcup_n X(n)$  is *abelian*. If a definable  $Y \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  is generic then there exists a finitely generated subgroup  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\Gamma + Y = \mathcal{G}$ .

## Definition

$\mathcal{M}$  sufficiently saturated,  $\mathcal{G}$  a locally definable group. A definable set  $Y \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  is called *generic* if there is a small subset  $A \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathcal{G} = A \cdot Y$ .

**Notation** For  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  definable, write

$$X(n) = \overbrace{XX^{-1} \dots XX^{-1}}^{n \text{ times}}.$$

## Fact

Assume that  $\langle \mathcal{G}, + \rangle = \bigcup_n X(n)$  is *abelian*. If a definable  $Y \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  is generic then there exists a finitely generated subgroup  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\Gamma + Y = \mathcal{G}$ .

## Definition

$\mathcal{M}$  sufficiently saturated,  $\mathcal{G}$  a locally definable group. A definable set  $Y \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  is called *generic* if there is a small subset  $A \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathcal{G} = A \cdot Y$ .

**Notation** For  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  definable, write

$$X(n) = \overbrace{XX^{-1} \dots XX^{-1}}^{n \text{ times}}.$$

## Fact

Assume that  $\langle \mathcal{G}, + \rangle = \bigcup_n X(n)$  is *abelian*. If a definable  $Y \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  is generic then there exists a finitely generated subgroup  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\Gamma + Y = \mathcal{G}$ .

$\mathcal{M}$  o-minimal, sufficiently saturated.

## Topology

If  $\mathcal{G}$  is a locally definable group then it admits a manifold-like group topology, (Baro-otero: with countably many charts).

## Setting

$\mathcal{G}$  abelian, generated by a definable subset  $0 \in X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ .

$$\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n X(n)$$

$$\dim \mathcal{G} := \max_n \dim X(n)$$

## Question

When does  $\mathcal{G}$  has a definable quotient of the same dimension?

## Theorem (Eleftheriou-P)

Assume that  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n X(n)$  is abelian, with  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  definable, definably compact, definably connected set.

If  $\mathcal{G}$  contains a definable generic set then there exists a finitely generated subgroup  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable (definably compact) and  $\dim(\mathcal{G}/\Gamma) = \dim \mathcal{G}$ .

## Negative example

Let  $R$  be a non-archimedean real closed field.  $a \gg 0$  in  $R$ . The group  $\mathcal{G} = \langle \bigcup_n [-a^n, a^n], + \rangle$  does not have any definable generic subset!

## Question

When does  $\mathcal{G}$  has a definable quotient of the same dimension?

## Theorem (Eleftheriou-P)

Assume that  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n X(n)$  is abelian, with  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  definable, definably compact, definably connected set.

If  $\mathcal{G}$  contains a definable generic set then there exists a finitely generated subgroup  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable (definably compact) and  $\dim(\mathcal{G}/\Gamma) = \dim \mathcal{G}$ .

## Negative example

Let  $R$  be a non-archimedean real closed field.  $a \gg 0$  in  $R$ . The group  $\mathcal{G} = \langle \bigcup_n [-a^n, a^n], + \rangle$  does not have any definable generic subset!



## Question

When does  $\mathcal{G}$  has a definable quotient of the same dimension?

## Theorem (Eleftheriou-P)

Assume that  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n X(n)$  is abelian, with  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  definable, definably compact, definably connected set.

If  $\mathcal{G}$  contains a definable generic set then there exists a finitely generated subgroup  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable (definably compact) and  $\dim(\mathcal{G}/\Gamma) = \dim \mathcal{G}$ .

## Negative example

Let  $R$  be a non-archimedean real closed field.  $a \gg 0$  in  $R$ . The group  $\mathcal{G} = \langle \bigcup_n [-a^n, a^n], + \rangle$  does not have any definable generic subset!

## Proof of Theorem. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Easy direction

We already saw: If  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable then  $\mathcal{G}$  contains a definable generic set

## Proof. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1)

$\mathcal{G} = \bigcup X(n)$  contains a definable generic set.

We may assume that  $X$  is generic, so there is a finitely generated subgroup  $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that  $X + \Gamma_0 = \mathcal{G}$ .

But we also need  $X \cap \Gamma_0$  finite and this could be false if  $\Gamma_0$  is too big.

## Proof of Theorem. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Easy direction

We already saw: If  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable then  $\mathcal{G}$  contains a definable generic set

Proof. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1)

$\mathcal{G} = \bigcup X(n)$  contains a definable generic set.

We may assume that  $X$  is generic, so there is a finitely generated subgroup  $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that  $X + \Gamma_0 = \mathcal{G}$ .

But we also need  $X \cap \Gamma_0$  finite and this could be false if  $\Gamma_0$  is too big.

## Proof of Theorem. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Easy direction

We already saw: If  $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is definable then  $\mathcal{G}$  contains a definable generic set

## Proof. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1)

$\mathcal{G} = \bigcup X(n)$  contains a definable generic set.

We may assume that  $X$  is generic, so there is a finitely generated subgroup  $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  such that  $X + \Gamma_0 = \mathcal{G}$ .

But we also need  $X \cap \Gamma_0$  finite and this could be false if  $\Gamma_0$  is too big.

## Definition

A type-definable subgroup of bounded index of  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup X(n)$  is a subgroup  $H$ , contained in some  $X(n)$  and given by a small intersection of definable sets, such that  $[\mathcal{G} : H] < \kappa$ .

**Note:** There are o-minimal, locally definable subgroups which have no type definable subgroups of bounded index (see previous “negative example”)

## Fact, H-P-P (a-la Shelah)

If  $\mathcal{G}$  is locally definable in an NIP theory and if  $\mathcal{G}$  contains **some** type-definable subgroup of bounded index then the intersection of ALL these subgroups, is type definable of bounded index. We call it  $\mathcal{G}^{00}$ .

# Logic topology

A subset  $F \subseteq \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^{00}$  is closed if its pre-image in  $\mathcal{G}$  is relatively type definable (namely,  $\pi^{-1}(F) \cap X$  is type-definable for every definable  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ ).

**Fact** If  $\mathcal{G}^{00}$  exists then  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^{00}$  is a locally compact.

## Example

$$\mathcal{G} = \langle \bigcup_n [-n, n], + \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{G}^{00} = \bigcap_n (-1/n, 1/n)$$

$$\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^{00} \cong \langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle$$

# Logic topology

A subset  $F \subseteq \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^{00}$  is closed if its pre-image in  $\mathcal{G}$  is relatively type definable (namely,  $\pi^{-1}(F) \cap X$  is type-definable for every definable  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ ).

**Fact** If  $\mathcal{G}^{00}$  exists then  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^{00}$  is a locally compact.

## Example

$$\mathcal{G} = \langle \bigcup_n [-n, n], + \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{G}^{00} = \bigcap_n (-1/n, 1/n)$$

$$\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^{00} \cong \langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle$$

# Logic topology

A subset  $F \subseteq \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^{00}$  is closed if its pre-image in  $\mathcal{G}$  is relatively type definable (namely,  $\pi^{-1}(F) \cap X$  is type-definable for every definable  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ ).

**Fact** If  $\mathcal{G}^{00}$  exists then  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^{00}$  is a locally compact.

## Example

$$\mathcal{G} = \langle \bigcup_n [-n, n], + \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{G}^{00} = \bigcap_n (-1/n, 1/n)$$

$$\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^{00} \cong \langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle$$



A subset  $F \subseteq \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^{00}$  is closed if its pre-image in  $\mathcal{G}$  is relatively type definable (namely,  $\pi^{-1}(F) \cap X$  is type-definable for every definable  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ ).

**Fact** If  $\mathcal{G}^{00}$  exists then  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^{00}$  is a locally compact.

## Example

$$\mathcal{G} = \langle \bigcup_n [-n, n], + \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{G}^{00} = \bigcap_n (-1/n, 1/n)$$

$$\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^{00} \cong \langle \mathbb{R}, + \rangle$$

## Main Theorem, Eleftheriou-P

Assume that  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n X(n)$  is an abelian group, with  $X$  definable, definably compact, definably connected. Assume that  $X + \Gamma_0 = \mathcal{G}$  for a finitely generated  $\Gamma_0$ .

Then there exists  $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma_0$  such that  $G := \mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is a definable, definably compact group, and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & G \\ \pi_{\mathcal{G}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_G \\ \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^{00} & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & G/G^{00} \end{array} \quad (1)$$

## Main Theorem, Eleftheriou-P

Assume that  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_n X(n)$  is an abelian group, with  $X$  definable, definably compact, definably connected. Assume that  $X + \Gamma_0 = \mathcal{G}$  for a finitely generated  $\Gamma_0$ .

Then there exists  $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma_0$  such that  $G := \mathcal{G}/\Gamma$  is a definable, definably compact group, and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\phi} & G \\ \pi_{\mathcal{G}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_G \\ \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^{00} & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & G/G^{00} \end{array} \quad (1)$$

# Conjectures

$\mathcal{M}$  is o-minimal

## Conjecture A

If  $\mathcal{G}$  is abelian, generated by a definably connected set  $X \ni 0$  then  $\mathcal{G}$  always contains a definable generic subset (and therefore  $\mathcal{G}$  always has definable quotient of same dimension).

Q: possible connection to approximate groups?

## Conjecture B (Edmundo)

If  $\mathcal{G}$  is abelian, generated by a definably connected set  $X \ni 0$  then  $\mathcal{G}$  is divisible.

## Fact (E-P)

Conjecture A  $\Rightarrow$  Conjecture B

# Conjectures

$\mathcal{M}$  is o-minimal

## Conjecture A

If  $\mathcal{G}$  is abelian, generated by a definably connected set  $X \ni 0$  then  $\mathcal{G}$  always contains a definable generic subset (and therefore  $\mathcal{G}$  always has definable quotient of same dimension).

Q: possible connection to approximate groups?

## Conjecture B (Edmundo)

If  $\mathcal{G}$  is abelian, generated by a definably connected set  $X \ni 0$  then  $\mathcal{G}$  is divisible.

## Fact (E-P)

Conjecture A  $\Rightarrow$  Conjecture B

# Conjectures

$\mathcal{M}$  is o-minimal

## Conjecture A

If  $\mathcal{G}$  is abelian, generated by a definably connected set  $X \ni 0$  then  $\mathcal{G}$  always contains a definable generic subset (and therefore  $\mathcal{G}$  always has definable quotient of same dimension).

Q: possible connection to approximate groups?

## Conjecture B (Edmundo)

If  $\mathcal{G}$  is abelian, generated by a definably connected set  $X \ni 0$  then  $\mathcal{G}$  is divisible.

## Fact (E-P)

Conjecture A  $\Rightarrow$  Conjecture B

# Conjectures

$\mathcal{M}$  is o-minimal

## Conjecture A

If  $\mathcal{G}$  is abelian, generated by a definably connected set  $X \ni 0$  then  $\mathcal{G}$  always contains a definable generic subset (and therefore  $\mathcal{G}$  always has definable quotient of same dimension).

Q: possible connection to approximate groups?

## Conjecture B (Edmundo)

If  $\mathcal{G}$  is abelian, generated by a definably connected set  $X \ni 0$  then  $\mathcal{G}$  is divisible.

## Fact (E-P)

Conjecture A  $\Rightarrow$  Conjecture B

# Back to the original question

$\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$  a sufficiently saturated o-minimal expansion of an ordered group. By the Trichotomy Theorem, there are three possibilities:

1.  $\mathcal{M}$  is a reduct of an ordered vector space (*the semilinear setting*).
2.  $\mathcal{M}$  is an expansion of a real closed field (*triangulation theorem, Cohomology Theory etc*).
3. Some RCF's are definable, only on bounded intervals (*the semi-bounded setting*). No definable bijections between bounded and unbounded intervals.  
**Example:** A reduct of a real closed field  $R: \langle R, <, +, B \rangle$ , with  $B$  a bounded semialgebraic subset of  $R^n$ .



# Back to the original question

$\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$  a sufficiently saturated o-minimal expansion of an ordered group. By the Trichotomy Theorem, there are three possibilities:

1.  $\mathcal{M}$  is a reduct of an ordered vector space (*the semilinear setting*).

2.  $\mathcal{M}$  is an expansion of a real closed field (*triangulation theorem, Cohomology Theory etc*).

3. Some RCF's are definable, only on bounded intervals (*the semi-bounded setting*). No definable bijections between bounded and unbounded intervals.

**Example:** A reduct of a real closed field  $R: \langle R, <, +, B \rangle$ , with  $B$  a bounded semialgebraic subset of  $R^n$ .

## Back to the original question

$\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$  a sufficiently saturated o-minimal expansion of an ordered group. By the Trichotomy Theorem, there are three possibilities:

1.  $\mathcal{M}$  is a reduct of an ordered vector space (*the semilinear setting*).

2.  $\mathcal{M}$  is an expansion of a real closed field (*triangulation theorem, Cohomology Theory etc*).

3. Some RCF's are definable, only on bounded intervals (*the semi-bounded setting*). No definable bijections between bounded and unbounded intervals.

**Example:** A reduct of a real closed field  $R: \langle R, <, +, B \rangle$ , with  $B$  a bounded semialgebraic subset of  $R^n$ .

# Back to the original question

$\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$  a sufficiently saturated o-minimal expansion of an ordered group. By the Trichotomy Theorem, there are three possibilities:

1.  $\mathcal{M}$  is a reduct of an ordered vector space (*the semilinear setting*).
2.  $\mathcal{M}$  is an expansion of a real closed field (*triangulation theorem, Cohomology Theory etc*).
3. Some RCF's are definable, only on bounded intervals (*the semi-bounded setting*). No definable bijections between bounded and unbounded intervals.

**Example:** A reduct of a real closed field  $R: \langle R, <, +, B \rangle$ , with  $B$  a bounded semialgebraic subset of  $R^n$ .

## Back to the original question

$\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \dots \rangle$  a sufficiently saturated o-minimal expansion of an ordered group. By the Trichotomy Theorem, there are three possibilities:

1.  $\mathcal{M}$  is a reduct of an ordered vector space (*the semilinear setting*).
2.  $\mathcal{M}$  is an expansion of a real closed field (*triangulation theorem, Cohomology Theory etc*).
3. Some RCF's are definable, only on bounded intervals (*the semi-bounded setting*). No definable bijections between bounded and unbounded intervals.  
**Example:** A reduct of a real closed field  $R: \langle R, <, +, B \rangle$ , with  $B$  a bounded semialgebraic subset of  $R^n$ .

## The idea

“Short intervals” (those which admit a definable real closed field) and “long intervals” (those who do not) are “orthogonal” to each other.

We want to analyze definable groups in terms of the groups in expansions of real closed fields and semilinear groups.

## The idea

“Short intervals” (those which admit a definable real closed field) and “long intervals” (those who do not) are “orthogonal” to each other.

We want to analyze definable groups in terms of the groups in expansions of real closed fields and semilinear groups.

# Theorem (Eleftheriou -P)

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an o-minimal expansion of an ordered group, and  $G$  a definable, definably compact definably connected group. Then we have the following locally definable covering of  $G$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & & & G & & & & \end{array}$$

with

- (i)  $\mathcal{H}$  a locally definable semilinear group.
- (ii)  $K$  a **definable**, definably compact group in an o-minimal expansion of a real closed field.
- (iii)  $\mathcal{G}$  a locally definable, central extension, with  $\dim(\mathcal{G}) = \dim(G)$ .

One can prove results about definable groups in o-minimal expansions of ordered groups using results about semilinear groups and about definable groups in o-minimal expansions of RCF's.

## Example of such result

Compact Domination holds for definably compact groups in o-minimal expansions of ordered groups